

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,479	09/18/2000	Robert Ellis Chapman JR.	YOR920000632US1	4711
75	590 09/04/2003			
Louis J Percello			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Law Dept IBM Corporation P O Box 218			NGUYEN, TU X	
	hts, NY 10598		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			2684	5
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/664,479	CHAPMAN ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu X Nguyen	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	July 2003 .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are withdrawn			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		on).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has be	en received.	,-
Attachment(s)		JU :	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
			_

Application/Control Number: 09/664,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 3-8 have been cancelled.

## Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snelling et al. (US Patent 6,418,131) and further in view of Kung et al. (US Pub. 2003/0133558).

Regarding claim 1, Snelling et al. disclose a network node device (100) for connecting one or more telephone wirelines to one or more wireless connections, the network node device comprising:

ź

Application/Control Number: 09/664,479

Art Unit: 2684

One or more connections to one or more telephone wirelines (see col.2 line 23 through col.3 line 45);

One or more wireless signal generators supporting one or more wireless connections (see col.7 lines 35-53);

An interconnection switch that makes and breaks one or more interconnections between the telephone wirelines and the respective wireless signal generators (see col.7 lines 35-53); and

A bridge that bridges signals from multiple wireless connections to one or more of the telephone wirelines (see col.7 lines 35-53).

Snelling et al. fail to disclose dynamically and selectively connecting/bridge signals from multiple wireless connections to one or more of the telephone wirelines based on user privacy input.

Kung et al. dislcose dynamically and selectively connecting/bridge signals from multiple wireless connections to one or more of the telephone wirelines based on user privacy input (see par. 0079-0081, 0086-0088). "multiplex/demultiplex" corresponds to "dynamically and selectively" and "extension transfer, call conference, internal caller ID, call functions" corresponds to "user privacy input". Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Snelling et al. with the above teaching of Kung et al. in order to dynamically routing telephone calls based on user input.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding to claim 2, the modified Snelling et al. disclose a verifier that verifies the validity of a request from a wireless device through a wireless connection for the bridging of signals (see Snelling et al., col.13 lines 56-64).

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

MN

August 15, 2003

NAY MAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER